

## **CONTROL CALCULATION DEVICE**

### **TECHNICAL FIELD**

**[0001]** The present invention relates to a control operation device capable of controlling a position of a controlled object as instructed by a command. That is, it relates to a control operation device for use in a servo control device for performing positioning control of a load machine connected to an electric motor by generating a current (torque) command to the electric motor based on an inputted position command and a detected position/speed detection value of the electric motor.

### **BACKGROUND TECHNIQUE**

**[0002]** In a conventional control operation device using a feed-forward signal, PID control is performed so that a position feed-forward signal and a position detection value coincide with each other and that a speed feed-forward signal and a speed detection value coincide with each other. (see, e.g., Patent Document 1)

Fig. 7 is a block diagram showing a structure of a conventional motor control system for controlling a position, etc., of a motor. In this figure, the reference numeral "1" denotes an electric motor for driving machinery as a load, "2" denotes a torque transmission mechanism connected to the electric motor 1, "3" denotes load machinery to be driven by the electric motor 1 connected to the torque-transmission mechanism 2, "19" denotes a position and speed detector which detects an actual speed and an actual position of the electric motor 1 and outputs an actual speed signal  $\omega_M$  and an actual position signal  $\theta_M$ , and "5" denotes a torque control circuit.

**[0003]** A subtracter 24 subtracts a first simulated position signal  $\theta_{A1}$  from a position command signal  $\theta_M^*$ , and outputs the obtained error signal ( $\theta_M^* - \theta_{A1}$ ) to the first

position control circuit 25. The first position control circuit 25 outputs a first speed signal  $\omega_1^*$  to a subtracter 26 so as to decrease the error signal  $(\theta_M^* - \theta_{A1})$  to control so that  $\theta_{A1}$  follows  $\theta_M^*$ . The subtracter 26 subtracts a first simulated speed signal  $\omega_{A1}$  from the first speed signal  $\omega_1^*$  which is an output of the first position control circuit 25, and outputs the obtained error signal  $(\omega_1^* - \omega_{A1})$  to a first speed control circuit 16. The first speed control circuit 16 inputs the error signal  $(\omega_1^* - \omega_{A1})$  to control so that the error signal  $(\omega_1^* - \omega_{A1})$  decreases, and outputs a first torque signal  $T_1^*$  to a subtracter 15. The subtracter 15 subtracts an output  $T_c$  of a compensating torque operational circuit 14 from the first torque signal  $T_1^*$ , and outputs the obtained third torque signal  $T_3^*$  to an adder 6 and a subtracter 18. The subtracter 18 subtracts a simulated transfer torque signal  $T_F$  which is an output of the torque transmission mechanism simulated circuit 10 from the third torque signal  $T_3^*$ , and outputs the obtained error signal  $(T_3^* - T_F)$  to an electric motor simulated circuit 27. The electric motor simulated circuit 27 simulates the transfer function of the electric motor 1, inputs  $(T_3^* - T_F)$ , outputs a first simulated position signal  $\theta_{A1}$  to a subtracter 20 and the subtracter 24, and further outputs a first simulated speed signal  $\omega_{A1}$  to a subtracter 11, a subtracter 12 and a subtracter 22. The subtracter 11 subtracts a second simulated speed signal  $\omega_{A2}$  from the first simulated speed signal  $\omega_{A1}$ , and outputs the obtained error signal  $(\omega_{A1} - \omega_{A2})$  to the torque transmission mechanism simulated circuit 10. The torque transmission mechanism simulated circuit 10 simulates the transfer function of the torque transmission mechanism 2, inputs the error signal  $(\omega_{A1} - \omega_{A2})$ , and outputs a simulated transfer torque signal  $T_F$  to the load machinery simulated circuit 9 and the subtracter 18. The load machinery simulated circuit 9 simulates the transfer function of the load machinery 3, inputs the torque signal  $T_F$ , and outputs the second simulated speed signal  $\omega_{A2}$  to the subtracter 11 and the subtracter 12. The subtracter 12 subtracts the second

simulated speed signal  $\omega_{A2}$  from the first simulated speed signal  $\omega_{A1}$ , and outputs the obtained error signal  $(\omega_{A1}-\omega_{A2})$  to a compensating torque operational circuit 14. The compensating torque operational circuit 14 inputs the error signal  $(\omega_{A1}-\omega_{A2})$ , and outputs a compensating torque signal  $T_C$  to the subtracter 15 so that the load machinery follows the speed command signal  $\omega_M^*$ . The subtracter 20 subtracts an actual position signal  $\theta_M$  from the first position signal  $\theta_{A1}$ , and outputs the obtained error signal  $(\theta_{A1}-\theta_M)$  to a second position control circuit 21. The second position control circuit 21 outputs the speed signal  $\omega_2^*$  to the adder 22 so that the error signal  $(\theta_{A1}-\theta_M)$  decreases to control so that  $\theta_M$  follows  $\theta_{A1}$ . The adder 22 adds the first speed signal  $\omega_{A1}$  and a second speed signal  $\omega_2^*$  and outputs to a subtracter 23. The subtracter 23 subtracts the actual speed signal  $\omega_M$  from an output of the adder 22, and outputs the obtained error signal  $(\omega_2^* + \omega_{A1} - \omega_M)$  to a second speed control circuit 8. The second speed control circuit 8 outputs a second torque signal  $T_2^*$  to the adder 6 so that the velocity error  $(\omega_{A1}-\omega_M)$  decreases to control so that the actual speed signal  $\omega_M$  follows the first simulated speed signal  $\omega_{A1}$ . The adder 6 adds the third torque signal  $T_3^*$  and the second torque signal  $T_2^*$ , and outputs the obtained torque command signal  $T_M^*$  to the torque control circuit 5. The torque control circuit 5 inputs a torque command signal  $T_M^*$  to drive the electric motor 1. The electric motor 1 drives the load mechanism 3 via the torque transmission mechanism 2. Moreover, the electric motor 1 is provided with a position and speed detector 19 for detecting the actual speed and the actual position of the electric motor 1 to output the actual speed signal  $\omega_M$  and the actual position signal  $\theta_M$ .

**[0004]** Fig. 8 is a block diagram explaining a second speed control circuit 8. In this diagram, the speed control circuit 8 includes a coefficient multiplier 108 having a proportional gain  $K_{V2}$  and an integrator 109 having an integral gain  $K_{I2}$ . When the

velocity error signal ( $\omega_{A1}-\omega_M$ ) is inputted, proportional plus integral control is performed to output a torque signal  $T_2^*$ . Therefore, even if disturbance torque is added, it can be controlled so that the speed  $\omega_M$  of the electric motor 1 follows the first simulated speed signal  $\omega_{A1}$ . As mentioned above, since it is controlled so that the  $\omega_{A1}$  follows  $\omega_M^*$  by the first speed control circuit 16, the speed  $\omega_M$  of the electric motor 1 is finally controlled so as to follow the speed command signal  $\omega_M^*$ .

Fig. 9 is a block diagram explaining the second position control circuit 21. In this diagram, the coefficient multiplier 202 having a gain  $K_{P2}$  performs proportional amplification of the position error ( $\theta_{A1}-\theta_M$ ), and outputs a second speed signal  $\omega_2^*$ . Since it is controlled such that  $\theta_{A1}$  follows  $\theta_M^*$ , the position  $\theta_M$  of the electric motor 1 is controlled so as to finally follow the position command signal  $\theta_M^*$ .

**[0005]** In this way, a conventional control operation device performs PID control based on the error signal of the feed-forward signal  $\theta_{A1}$  and  $\omega_{A1}$  and the detection value  $\theta_M$  and  $\omega_M$  to attenuate the impact of errors of a feed-forward model or unknown disturbance torques.

[Patent Document 1: Japanese Patent No. 3,214,270 (see Page 10 and Fig. 9)]

## **DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION**

### **PROBLEMS TO BE SOLVED BY THE INVENTION**

**[0006]** In a conventional control operation device, PID control is performed, and adjustment is performed only by three control parameter values of a proportional gain  $K_p$  ( $K_{P2}$  in a conventional case) of a feedback position loop, a proportional gain  $K_v$  ( $K_{V2}$  in a conventional case) of a speed loop, and an integral gain  $K_i$  ( $K_{i2}$  in a conventional case). Therefore, there was a drawback that disturbance characteristics cannot be finely adjusted to decrease influences by modeling errors and/or disturbances.

Moreover, for example, if control such as predictive control, which demonstrates an effect by the balance of feed-forward control and feedback control, is used to improve the disturbance characteristic, there was also a problem that the use of such control causes a deterioration of the controllability.

The present invention was made in view of such problems, and aims to provide a control operation device capable of finely adjusting the disturbance characteristic which attenuates the impact of a modeling error and/or a disturbance even in cases where a feed-forward model has an error to an actual controlled object or there was an unknown disturbance which was not considered in a model, and also capable of applying control such as predictive control which demonstrates an effect by the balance of feedback control to improve command following capability.

### **MEANS TO SOLVE THE PROBLEMS**

**[0007]** In the present invention, a control operation device which receives a position feed-forward signal ( $x_{ff}$ ), a torque feed-forward signal ( $t_{ff}$ ), and a position detection value ( $x_{fb}$ ) of a controlled object, calculates an operation amount so that the position detection value ( $x_{fb}$ ) coincides with the position feed-forward signal ( $x_{ff}$ ), and outputs the operation amount, comprising:

an error signal calculation unit; and

an error compensation operation unit,

wherein the error signal calculation unit outputs a signal given by multiplying an error ( $err$ ) given by subtracting the position detection value ( $x_{fb}$ ) from the position feed-forward signal ( $x_{ff}$ ) by a gain  $\alpha$  as an error command ( $err_{ref}$ ), and outputs a signal given by changing a sign of the error ( $err$ ) and multiplying a gain  $\beta$  as an error

feedback value (err\_fb), and

wherein the error compensation operation unit controls so that the error command (err\_ref) and the error feedback value (err\_fb) coincide, and outputs an error torque command value (err\_tref), and adds the torque feed-forward signal (tff) and the error torque command value (err\_tref) to give the operation amount (tref).

### **EFFECTS OF THE INVENTION**

**[0008]** According to the present invention as recited in claims 1 to 4, in cases where a feed-forward model has an error to an actual controlled object or there is an unknown disturbance not considered in a model, there is an advantage that the disturbance characteristic can be adjusted finely by adjusting a gain  $\alpha$  and a gain  $\beta$  in addition to three control-parameter values which were adjusted in a conventional control operation device. Moreover, even in cases where control which demonstrates an effect by a balance of a feedback control is used to improve the disturbance characteristic, for example, a command following capability of, e.g., a predictive control, there is an effect that the controllability can be kept well and as a result the entire controllability can be improved.

**[0009]** Moreover, according to the invention as recited in claim 5, there is an effect that apart from an original feed-forward control, a control having a feed-forward can be applied without problem to reduce errors, and as a result the controllability can be improved.

Moreover, according to the invention as recited in claim 6, there is an effect that apart from original feed-forward control, prediction control can be applied without problem to reduce errors, and as a result the controllability can be improved.

Moreover, according to the invention as recited in claim 7, since a function which

correlates two parameters is decided preliminarily, there is an effect that an adjustment time can be shortened by parameters for adjustment in union.

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

#### **[0010]**

[Fig. 1] Fig. 1 is a block diagram of a first embodiment showing a structure of a control operation device according to the present invention.

[Fig. 2] Fig. 2 is a block diagram showing a structure of an operation device.

[Fig. 3] Fig. 3 is a block diagram showing a structure of an error compensation operation unit.

[Fig. 4] Fig. 4 is a block diagram according to a second embodiment.

[Fig. 5] Fig. 5 is a block diagram according to a third embodiment.

[Fig. 6] Fig. 6 is a block diagram according to a fourth embodiment.

[Fig. 7] Fig. 7 is a block diagram showing a structure of a conventional control operation device.

[Fig. 8] Fig. 8 is a block diagram showing a structure of a second speed control circuit.

[Fig. 9] Fig. 9 is a block diagram showing a structure of a second position control circuit.

### **DESCRIPTION OF REFERENCE NUMERALS**

#### **[0011]**

100, 100B, 100C, 100D Control operation device

101, 102, 121, 131, 134 Subtractor

120 Error signal calculation unit

130 Error compensation operation unit

133, 151 Differentiator

136 Integrator  
 122, 123, 124, 132, 135, 137, 152 Coefficient multiplier  
 140 Speed control portion  
 150 Feed-forward control portion  
 160 Operational unit

### **BEST MODE FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION**

**[0012]** Hereafter, a control operation device according to the present invention will be explained with reference to the drawings. The control operation device according to the present invention explained below is an improvement of the portion (A) shown by the dashed line of the conventional control system shown in Fig. 7.

#### **EXAMPLE 1**

#### **[0013]**

Fig. 1 is a block diagram showing a structure of an embodiment of a first embodiment of a control operation device according to the present invention. In Fig. 1, "100" denotes a control operation device of the present invention, "101" denotes an adder, and "160" denotes an operational unit. Fig. 2 is a block diagram showing the structure of the operational unit 160. In Fig. 2, "120" denotes an error signal calculation unit, "130" denotes an error compensation operation unit, "121" denotes a subtracter, "122" is a sign inverter, "123" denotes an arithmometer having a coefficient  $\alpha$ , and "124" denotes an arithmometer having a coefficient  $\beta$ .

In such a structure of the control operation device 100, when a torque feed-forward signal  $tff$  is inputted into the adder 101 and a position feed-forward signal  $xff$  and position detection signal  $xfb$  are inputted into the error signal calculation unit 120, the adder 101 inputs the torque feed-forward signal  $tff$  and an error torque command  $err\_tref$  calculated by the operation machine 160 to add them, and outputs a torque



command  $t_{ref}$ . When the subtracter 121 of the error signal calculation unit 120 receives an a position feed-forward signal  $x_{ff}$  and a position detection signal  $x_{fb}$ , calculates a position error  $err$  by performing the subtraction, and outputs the position error  $err$  to the sign inverter 122 and the arithmometer 123 having a coefficient  $\alpha$ . The sign inverter 122 reverses the sign of the inputted position error  $err$  and outputs it to the arithmometer 124 having a coefficient  $\beta$ . The arithmometer 123 outputs an error command  $err_{ref}$  as an operation result to an error compensation operation unit 130, and the arithmometer 124 outputs an error feedback value  $err_{fb}$  as an operation result to an error compensation operation unit 130. The relation of the input/output of this error signal calculation unit 120 is expressed by the following expressions.

$$err_{ref} = \alpha \cdot (x_{ff} - x_{fb}) \quad (1)$$

$$err_{fb} = \beta \cdot (x_{fb} - x_{ff}) \quad (2)$$

In these expressions, two coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  denote gains which can be set arbitrarily. At this time, if the relation is correlated such that the sum of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  becomes a fixed value 1 ( $\alpha + \beta = 1$ ), it becomes possible to simplify the adjustment since adjusting one of them determines the other. The correlation of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is not limited to such expressions, and can be set arbitrarily.

The error compensation operation unit 130 receives the error command  $err_{ref}$  and the error feedback value  $err_{fb}$ , performs an error correction operation so that they coincides to obtain an error torque command  $err\text{-}t_{ref}$ , and then outputs the error torque command to the adder 101.

**[0014]** Fig. 3 is a block diagram showing the structure of the error compensation operation unit 130. In Fig. 3, "131" and "134" denote subtracters, and "138" denotes an adder. Furthermore, "151" and "133" denote differentiators, "136" denotes an integrator, and "S" denotes a Laplace operator. "132," "135," "137," and "152" are

coefficient multipliers having a coefficient  $K_p$ ,  $K_v$ ,  $K_i$ ,  $K_f$ , and the differentiator 151 and the coefficient multiplier 152 constitute an FF controller 150. In the case of performing control for according the error command  $err\_ref$  and the error feedback value  $err\_fb$  as explained here, the structure is not limited to the illustrated one. For example, PID control can be employed, or control consisting of feed-forward control and feedback control, such as 2-freedom-degree control using a model of a controlled object, can be employed. Furthermore, an inverse transfer function compensation using a model of a controlled object or the like can be employed.

**[0015]** In this embodiment, the following explanation will be directed to the case in which control consisting of feed-forward control and feedback control as shown in Fig. 3 is used. In Fig. 3, "150" denotes a feed-forward control portion, and the output of the error compensation operation unit 130 is calculated by the expression 3.

$$out = K_v \cdot (1 + K_i/s) \cdot \{K_p \cdot (err\_ref - err\_fb) + K_f \cdot s \cdot err\_ref - s \cdot err\_fb\} \quad (3)$$

Thus, at the time of adjusting the disturbance characteristic, the disturbance characteristic can be more finely adjusted since the gain  $\alpha$  and the gain  $\beta$  as well as gains  $K_p$ ,  $K_v$ ,  $K_i$ , and  $K_f$  can be adjusted, resulting in improved controllability.

**[0016]** Fig. 4 is a view showing the structure of a second embodiment. All the elements having the same reference sign as in Figs. 1 and 2 correspond to the elements shown in Figs. 1 and 2. This embodiment 2 is different from the first embodiment 1 in that a speed feed-forward signal  $vff$  in addition to the feed-forward signal of the position and the torque is inputted and that a speed control portion 140 is added. In the speed control portion 140, proportional plus integral control is generally used in many cases.

In this case, the error  $verr$  between the speed feed-forward signal  $vff$  and the speed detection value  $vfb$  is inputted into the speed control portion 140, and the feedback torque command  $tfb$  outputted from the speed control portion 140, the torque

feed-forward signal  $t_{ff}$ , and the error torque command  $err\_tref$  outputted from the error compensation operation unit 130 of the operational unit 160 are added into a torque command value  $tref$  which is a manipulation amount.

As explained above, since it is constituted that the usual speed control portion 140 for compensating the error between the speed feed-forward signal  $v_{ff}$  and the speed detection value  $v_{fb}$  separately from the error torque command  $err\_tref$  calculated by the error compensation operation unit 130 in order to compensate the error using the position error  $err$  is also provided, the characteristic to the disturbance can be improved.

**[0017]** Fig. 5 is a view showing a structure of a third embodiment. The third embodiment 3 is the same as the second embodiment 2 in structure element, but is different from the second embodiment 2 in that the output of the error compensation operation unit 130 in the operational unit 160 shown in Fig. 5 serves as an error speed command  $err\_vref$  as opposed to that the output of the error compensation operation unit 130 in the operational unit 160 serves as an error torque command  $err\_tref$ .

In this case, the speed feed-forward  $v_{ff}$  and the error speed command  $err\_vref$  are added and the speed detection value  $v_{fb}$  is subtracted therefrom to serve as a speed error  $v_{err}$  to be inputted into the speed control portion 140, and the feedback torque command  $t_{fb}$  which is an output of the speed control portion 140 and the torque feed-forward signal  $t_{ff}$  are added to be outputted as a torque command  $tref$  which is a manipulation amount.

**[0018]** The error compensation operation unit 130 of this embodiment shows the case where predictive control is used.

As predictive control, for example, devices, such as, e.g., a "preview-control device" disclosed by Japanese Unexamined Laid-open Patent Publication No. H07-

028508, and a "preview-control device" disclosed by Japanese Unexamined Laid-open Patent Publication No. H05-820489, are known. In cases where the invention disclosed by Japanese Unexamined Laid-open Patent Publication No. H07-028508 is used, provided that this sampling is  $i^{\text{th}}$  sampling, the control input  $u(i)$  which minimizes the evaluation function  $J$  shown by the expression (5) including a prediction interval  $M$ , a detection delay  $K$ , a weighting coefficient  $W_m$ , a weighting coefficient  $\alpha$ , a weighting coefficient  $c$ , a weighting coefficient  $c_d$ , this time position error  $e(i-K)$ , and a predicted value  $e^*(i+m)$  of the error ahead of  $m$  pieces is obtained by the expression (6).

[Expression 1]

$$r(i) = \text{err\_ref}(i), \quad y(i) = \text{err\_fb}(i), \quad u(i) = \text{vref\_err}(i) \quad (4)$$

$$J = \sum_{m=1}^M w_m \{e^*(i+m) + \alpha \cdot e(i-K)\}^2 + c\{u(i)\}^2 + c_d\{\Delta u(i)\}^2 \quad (5)$$

$$u(i) = \sum_{m=-K+1}^M v_m \Delta r(i+m) - \sum_{n=0}^{N_a-1} p_n \Delta y(i-K-n) - \sum_{n=1}^{N_b+K-1} g_n u(i-n) + Ee(i-K) \quad (6)$$

Here,  $\Delta r(i)$  represents an incremental value for every control period of the command  $r(i)$ , and  $\Delta y(i)$  represents an incremental value for every control period of the output  $y(i)$  of the controlled object. Moreover, " $N_a$ " and " $N_b$ " represent the degree of the denominator and the degree of the numerator, respectively, when the transfer characteristic from the control input " $u$ " to  $\Delta y$  is represented by a pulse transfer function.

**[0019]** The parameters  $v_m$ ,  $p_n$ ,  $g_n$ , and  $E$  in the expression (6) are values calculated from a model of a controlled object and the value of each weight, and since the calculation method is disclosed by the "preview-control device" described in Japanese Unexamined Laid-open Patent Publication No. H07-028508, the detail will be omitted here.

Thus, only by substituting a portion of a position control portion of a conventional device with the system of the present invention, it becomes possible to apply predictive control, which could not be applied until now, resulting in improved controllability.

**[0020]** Fig. 6 is a figure showing a structure of a fourth embodiment. The fourth embodiment 4 is almost the same as the third embodiment 3 shown in Fig. 5, but is different only in that the torque feed-forward signal  $tff$  is not inputted. This structure can be effectively used in cases where, e.g., a response becomes vibratality or torque saturation occurs when a torque feed-forward signal is used. Thus, even in a structure not using a torque feed-forward signal, it becomes possible to demonstrate the effects of the present invention.

### **INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY**

**[0021]** When there exists an error between the model considered in a feed-forward and the actual device, control having a feed-forward like predictive control for the purpose of reducing a model error can be applied without problem. Furthermore, since the response of an actual device can be finely adjusted by adjusting the balance of parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , the present invention can be applied to, e.g., a semiconductor producing equipment, an electronic component mounting device, a robot, or a machine tool, which require high-speed response and highly precise positioning.